



Use of carbon dioxide as a breath marker

Madsen, Jørgen

Publication date:
2014

Document version
Early version, also known as pre-print

Citation for published version (APA):
Madsen, J. (2014). *Use of carbon dioxide as a breath marker*. Abstract from The 65th Annual Meeting of the European Federation of Animal Science, Copenhagen, Denmark.

Double hierarchical generalized linear models for micro-sensitivity in daily feed intakeA.B. Strathe^{1,2}, T. Mark², B. Nielsen¹, D.N. Do², H.N. Kadamideen² and J. Jensen³¹Pig Research Centre, Department of breeding and genetics, Axeltorv 3, 1609 Copenhagen V, Denmark.²University of Copenhagen, Department of Clinical Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Groennegaardsvej 3, 1870 Frederiksberg C, Denmark. ³Aarhus University, Center for Quantitative Genetics and Genomics, Blichers Alle 20, 8830 Tjele, Denmark; strathe@sund.ku.dk

Micro-environmental sensitivity can be studied by estimating the genetic variance in the residual dispersion. Therefore, the objective of this study was to develop double hierarchical generalized linear models for daily feed intake (DFI) in Danish Duroc pigs. This enabled genetic effects for initial, time-trend and residual dispersion in feed intake to be estimated, including their correlations. The resulting model was a natural extension of random regression models, allowing the genetic effect on heterogeneity of residual variance to be estimated. The phenotypic feed intake curve, based on Legendre polynomials, was decomposed into a fixed curve, being specific to the barn-year-season effect and curves associated with the random permanent animal and additive genetic effects. A total of 570,901 DFI records were available on 8,804 Danish Duroc boars between 70 to 160 days of age. Out-of-sample predictions suggested that Legendre polynomials of first order were enough to model the data. The heritability of DFI ranges from 0.08 to 0.12, while the repeatability ranged from 0.30 to 0.35 along the age trajectory. The genetic standard deviation for residual variance was 0.15 for DFI, which indicated a low to moderate genetic variance for residual variance and implied that one unit change in the genetic standard deviation for the dispersion would alter the residual variance by 15%. The genetic correlations between the breeding value for residual variance and breeding values for initial and time trend in feed intake were 0.51 and 0.92, respectively, suggesting effects of scale. Therefore, issues related to scale effects and Box-Cox transformations will be discussed.

Session 50

Theatre 7

Use of carbon dioxide as a breath marker

J. Madsen

University of Copenhagen, Large Animal Sciences, Groennegaardsvej 2, 1870 Frederiksberg C., Denmark; jom@sund.ku.dk

For a century experiments with cattle in respiration chambers, feed experiments and feed evaluation experiments have produced knowledge about the feed requirements, feed intake and utilization of different feeds for different production. These results can also be used to calculate the carbon dioxide production of the cattle and the quantitative carbon dioxide excretion of the cattle can be used as a marker for the amount of gases released by the cattle. By doing this a simple marker that can be used in many different situations has been established. It can be used as a simple, fast and cheap method to estimate the methane (CH₄) production from animals, but it can also be used to quantify the excretion of other gasses as for example acetone to potentially give an indication of a ketosis situation of dairy cows. The amount of carbon in the excreted CO₂ can basically be calculated from the intake of carbon minus the undigested carbon and the carbon in weight gain, the produced milk and in the methane. In practice the CO₂ excretion can be calculated from the intake of metabolizable energy minus the energy in the weight gain or milk produced, as there is close relation between heat production and CO₂ excretion. Cows carbon dioxide production can be calculated which make it recommendable to use carbon dioxide as a breath marker, – like the SF₆ –, when excretion of different gasses are going to be quantified. Different feeds and supplements can be tested in experiments. Moreover, the method can be used large scale to establish the differences between cows. In experiments where the objective is selection for example for reduced methane excretion then the differences between cows most likely have to be corrected for differences in the factors that influence both the methane production and the carbon dioxide production. In short term experiments this is most likely feed intake, if it is known, or milk production.

Effects of seasonY. Sasaki, M. Ueda
University of Miyagi

The Japanese Black cattle effect of season on the objective of 1 service interval a season, parity and 13,186 animals from animals were bred winter (December to November). He large herds (≥51) conception rate was showed the longe cows was shorter 10 days shorter c winter or spring h (P<0.05). As the 1 (P<0.05). Cows in herds (P<0.05). In calving in spring,

Session 50

Estimation of genD.C.B. Scaletz¹, S.¹State University of Brazil, ²Universidade Federal de Lavras, ³Instituto de Agronomia

The aim of this study was to estimate the genetic effect of season on German Holstein cattle. We used 139,102 days in milk were fixed effect lactation curve of Legendre polynomial were considered and compared: the selection index with 10 classes from Akaike's (AIC) fit to the data than both additive genetic effects were estimated with this time, it was parsimonious.

Book of Abstracts of the 65th Annual Meeting of the European Federation of Animal Science

2st

Copenhagen, Denmark, 25-29 August, 2014



EAAP Scientific Committee:

H. Simianer
G. Van Duinkerken
H. Spoolder
M. Vestergaard
A. Bernues
M. Klopčič
L. Bodin
C. Lauridsen
N. Miraglia
G. Pollott

Proceedings publication and Abstract Submission System (OASES) by



Wageningen Academic
Publishers